## A.4 APPENDIX 2

#### **FULL COUNCIL**

#### **22 NOVEMBER 2022**

# REPORT OF DEPUTY LEADER & PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR FINANCE AND CORPORATE SERVICES

#### A.9 INFORMATION GOVERNANCE

Report prepared by Richard Barrett and John Higgins

**PART 1 – KEY INFORMATION** 

#### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

To present to Full Council an update on proposals for IT changes. The ongoing work is aimed at reaching an outcome whereby members can undertake their role effectively, whilst ensuring that information held by the Council, is safe, secure and compliant with relevant legislation. This work will also include looking at various different IT solutions and the associated costs.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Like all modern twenty-first century organisations, the Council is reliant upon information, data and digital services to deliver all our services. The Council securely stores and holds guardianship over some 60 terabytes of residents', customers', visitors', members' and officers' personal and special category data. To put this into context, 60 terabytes of data represents the equivalent of 390 million document pages or 15 million digital photos.

Members are reliant upon access to their emails to undertake their role as a Councillor. Members also have a responsibility to ensure that the sometimes sensitive personal or organisational information they are sent is kept safely and respects its confidentiality.

Throughout 2018-2021 the Council's IT Service implemented and achieved compliance with increasing NCSC technical security standards. The UK adopted its UK Data Protection Act 2018 and UK General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) legislation on 25 May 2018.

The key Principles of UK Data Protection legislation require that the data is stored: lawfully, fairly and transparently, adequate and relevant and limited to what is necessary, accurate and where necessary kept up to date, kept for no longer than is necessary in a form which permits identification of data subjects, ensuring 'integrity and confidentiality' protecting against unauthorised or unlawful processing and against accidental loss/ destruction/ damage through using appropriate security.

**Processing of personal data** - means any operation or set of operations which is performed on personal data or on sets of personal data, whether or not by automated means, such as collection, recording, organisation, structuring, storage, adaptation or alteration, retrieval, consultation, use, disclosure by transmission, dissemination or otherwise making available, alignment or combination, restriction, erasure or destruction.

The Department of Levelling Up Housing and Communities (DLUHC) commenced local authority security resilience audits in 2021. In December 2021 the DLUHC 'Health Check' scan identified the Council's auto-forwarding of emails practice and recommended that the practice be phased out a soon as possible. These DLUHC local government cyber-security audits are being rolled-out to all authorities during 2023.

The DLUHC audit was considered and agreed by the Audit Committee and the March 2022 Corporate Risk Register reported the need to cease the practice of auto-forwarding of Councillors' emails. The minutes of the Audit Committee were reported to Full Council in July 2022.

The UK Data Protection legislation (6th Principle) requires that information and data are processed in a manner that ensures appropriate security of the personal data including protection against unauthorised or unlawful processing and against accidental loss/destruction/ damage through using appropriate technical or organizational measures (integrity and confidentiality). In all matters of council business, the Council is the Data Controller and has legislative responsibility to ensure, and to evidence, that information is being managed and protected in accordance with the principles of the legislation.

The risk of cyber-attack is not new, but it is escalating in terms of frequency, severity and complexity. To counter these sophisticated attacks the Council's protected domain uses a range of best of breed, commercial-grade security services from multiple vendors.

The original proposal of ceasing auto-forwarding of emails was met with concern from some members as they felt it might curtail their ability to access information and fulfil their role. Therefore, the Portfolio Holder has instructed Officers to explore different solutions (including some new processes of creating an app for members to be able to access their emails securely on their own devices), whilst being mindful of ensuring the security of such information and protection against cyber-attacks.

Scrutiny has included Cyber-security in the work programme. In consultation with the Chair of Scrutiny, (Councillor Mark Stephenson), it is proposed that the remit be extended to include the issue of members' access to their information and the alternative solutions available, mindful of the recommendations of Audit Committee and the issues of confidentiality, Data Protection and cyber security. With all members having the opportunity to have an input and recommendations being brought back to a future Council meeting.

The original proposal to cease the auto-forwarding of emails emerged from an information governance / GDPR review undertaken by Internal Audit. The associated review, which supported this approach, was undertaken in line with the Council's existing risk management processes and included input from the Council's Data Protection Officer, S151 Officer, Internal Audit Manager and Senior Information Risk owner (SIRO). The risk management process highlighted above included the Council's Audit Committee, who after considering the matter at its January 2020 meeting, resolved that:

The Committee supports the implementation, as soon as possible, of the proposal set out within the report for providing the necessary IT equipment and training to Members to ensure that only Council equipment is used when conducting Council business in order to reduce the financial and reputational risk associated with processing personal data.

Although in a wider context, the matter also formed part of a report that was considered by the Resources and Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee at its meeting in January 2021.

Whilst this additional work is being explored, Members acknowledge that the ongoing risk of the Council, acting as Data Controller, potentially in breach of the Data Protection Act 2018 remains, whilst the auto-forwarding of Councillor emails practice continues. Individual Councillors may however voluntarily request that auto-forwarding is ceased for their email account, which is maintaining the status quo and has been adopted by 20 councillors.

The Council has all-out elections in May 2023, so it is proposed that all changes be implemented for the new Council in 2023.

It is also proposed that a workshop be scheduled for all members to highlight the requirements of Data Protection and the prevalent issues cyber breaches and security requirements. This will assist in mitigating the risks of breaches.

In terms of the proposed review by the Resources and Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee, it is worth highlighting the Councils' existing adopted Risk Management Framework seeks to address a number of key elements such as the identification of risks, the analysis of those risks and whether they can be 'tolerated' or need to be 'treated etc., with the latter including reviewing potential options. With the above in mind, it would seem logical / pragmatic to structure the proposed review around these existing risk management principles, which would have formed part of the original work undertaken by Officers and the Audit Committee. This approach would also complement a wider review of various cyber related issues as part of the Cyber Assessment Framework recently published by the National Cyber Security Centre (NCSC) that was considered at the first meeting of the relevant Resources and Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee Task and Finish Group on 27 October 2022.

Subject to the recommendations below, members are invited to submit any comments or thoughts on the subject of cyber security and email forwarding for the Resources and Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee Task and Finish group to take into consideration. This can be done via email to Democratic Services

### **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

#### It is recommended that:

- 1. Full Council acknowledges that the ongoing risk of the Council, acting as Data Controller, potentially in breach of the Data Protection Act 2018 remains, whilst the auto-forwarding of Councillor emails practice continues;
- the Resources and Services Overview & Scrutiny Committee extend its work programme of cyber security to include reviewing the different proposals of Members' access to emails, in line with the Council's Risk Management Framework, and make recommendations to Cabinet and Council along with relevant costings;
- 3. such proposals to be mindful of the recommendations of the Audit Committee, Data Protection Act requirements and cyber security;
- 4. a workshop be scheduled for all Members to ensure awareness of the requirements of the Data Protection Act 2018 and cyber security; and
- 5. the implementation be planned for no later than 1<sup>st</sup> April 2023 in readiness for the commencement of the new Council, following the elections in 2023 and the new Councillors be given the training as detailed in 3 above.

#### **BACKGROUND & PREVIOUS DECISIONS**

As communicated to Members recently, one of two key actions relating to Members use of IT, which has been deferred, is as follows:

Stopping the practice of auto-forwarding council emails and official data to personal email accounts outside of the Council's protected domain.

The other key action recently implemented was as follows:

Locking down access to all council applications and non-public facing systems to council managed devices only within our council protected domain. (which came into effect on 29 July 2022)

Both actions should be viewed as complimentary actions, as auto forwarding of emails would present an immediate conflict, as emails sent to an official Tendring email account would instantly leave the Council's 'protected' domain. This point underpins the recommendation raised via the audit process below which concentrates on the underlying issue of only using a Council managed device when undertaking Council business.

A summary of the background to the associated governance and reporting actions within the Council to date are as follows:

**20 January 2020 -** Following an information governance / GDPR review, a report of the Head of Internal Audit was considered by the Audit Committee. Within that report, the following issue was set out.

An issue of non-compliance with the Data Protection Act 2018 was identified for consideration along with proposed actions by the Audit Committee.

There have been occasions in the past where personal and special category TDC data has been forwarded to personal emails by both Officers and Members. It is however recognised that this is for

ease of use rather than anything malicious. However Data Protection Act 2018 legislation, particularly Article 5, Paragraph 1(f), requires personal data to be "processed in a manner that ensures appropriate security of the personal data". We are unable to demonstrate compliance in this regard as personal devices and their cyber-security remain outside of the sphere of Council knowledge, control and management. It is therefore recommended that Officers be reminded of the need to ensure that TDC data be retained within TDC encrypted, secure 'official' emails and not forwarded to personal emails. In respect of Members, the recommended control is that only Council issued equipment and email addresses should be used to prevent the need of forwarding data to personal emails and increasing the risk of non-compliance and the wider financial and reputational consequences if personal data is not secure.

Following consideration of the above, the Audit Committee resolved:

The Committee supports the implementation, as soon as possible, of the proposal set out within the report for providing the necessary IT equipment and training to Members to ensure that only Council equipment is used when conducting Council business in order to reduce the financial and reputational risk associated with processing personal data.

The minutes from the above meeting were included within the Full Council agenda on 15 September 2020.

**29 May 2020** – As part of a review of the Council's Constitution, Cabinet considered an associated report where the following resolution was agreed:

That Cabinet endorses that all Councillors conduct all Council business through their Tendring District Council online accounts using the corporate IT kit supplied to them for the smooth facilitating and running of remote meetings.

- **15 September 2020** The above was included within the various documents considered by Full Council as part of formally agreeing a number of changes to the Council's Constitution.
- **3 December 2020 -** Members may also recall various discussions relating to using Council managed devices, when previous devices such as Microsoft Surface GO's were replaced with laptops, a key action in supporting the move to restricting system access to only Council managed devices. This was a matter that was considered by the Resources and Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee at its meeting its meeting in December 2020.

The record of the discussion as set out in an extract from the minutes of the meeting is as follows:

The emerging digital picture was therefore, perceived as an opportunity to assist councillors in their community leadership role. Through providing each councillor with a standard, managed device backed up by IT training and supported via the Council's IT service desk intended benefits and improvements were, and remain, as follows:

- To assist Councillors to improve their efficiency and access to stored digital information.
- Strengthen cybersecurity (and cybersecurity awareness) and further reduce any possibility of a data breach and Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) data loss.
- Enhance Councillors' digital engagement.
- Enhance mobile working and flexible working capabilities and thereby work/ life balance

- Further reduce reliance (and the costs) of printed information.
- Councillor IT equipment standardisation would in turn enable officers council-wide to standardise the range services that they provide which would achieve efficiency savings for both Councillors and Officers.

Members heard how the strategy had been to purchase high quality Microsoft Surface Go tablets during 2019 and at the beginning of 2020 for Councillors to undertake their council-related duties. With some Councillors struggling with the tablet screen size Officers had additionally offered Councillors: connection hubs, full size keyboards, 24" screens, cabled mouse. This gave Councillors a blend of home-based digital access with the ability to go mobile with their tablets when required.

As a result of COVID-19 and an emerging understanding as to its longevity, officers had become conversant with new face-to-face restrictive working arrangements and the use of virtual Microsoft Skype meetings had become a key 'new working norm'. Likewise, virtual meeting MS Skype capabilities had needed to be extended to Councillors to enable them to perform their duties, which was not an intended original use of the previously purchased tablets.

The Committee was informed that the Council now had a pressing financial, technological and support need to migrate fully from Microsoft Skype to Microsoft Teams. Teams offered a range of additional meeting business functionality benefits over Skype but it was far more demanding in terms of computing processing power. As such, it was close to the limit and was very likely to become beyond the processing capabilities of councillor tablets as Microsoft invested in further enhancing Teams functionality.

With a view to giving Councillors the very best experience possible during multi-party video conference calls, the decision had now been taken to allocate funding to quickly replace Councillors' tablets with the same Lenovo laptops that officers used. Those laptops were tried and tested, high specification devices that had enabled officers to perform the full range of council business demands.

The Committee was also informed in addition, and based upon approaches from several senior Councillors, that providing Members with a council tablet had unintentionally been seen as an 'imposition' by some Councillors, despite Officers' best intentions. Likewise, Officers had now acknowledged Councillors' desire to be increasingly involved in their use of digital technology and how they worked and engaged with council business.

With engagement firmly in mind but reflecting the need to standardise equipment across Officers and Councillors as far as was possible, Councillors would now be asked on an individual basis whether they would benefit more from having a smaller, lighter more portable 13" council laptop, or a larger 15" laptop with a bigger screen and near full-size keyboard. Council provided ancillary devices – keyboards, screens, mice, hubs – would continue to be offered to Councillors and those who already had them would be able to connect and continue to use them with their replacement laptops.

Following the consideration of the above, the Committee resolved:

That the Cabinet be informed that this Committee endorses the principle that Councillors be consulted on the IT kit that is to be provided to them to fulfil their roles as Members.

**29 January 2021 -** The consultation process was undertaken as highlighted above along with Cabinet considering the above comments from the Resources and Overview and Scrutiny Committee at their meeting in January 2021, where the following comments from the Portfolio Holder for Corporate Finance and Governance were included and endorsed:

I thank the Committee for their comments, and I am delighted to state that all Members of the Council have now been furnished with a brand new device of their individual choice. The roll out of these during the current lockdown has been carried out impeccably by our IT guys, who going by the comments I have personally received and fed back form colleagues, have done this in safest possible manner, and for which I am very grateful."

The Council maintains a Corporate Risk Register that is reviewed on a 6 monthly cycle by the Audit Committee. The two relevant risks included within the register are as follows:

- Ineffective communication / management of information
- Ineffective Cyber Security Physical and Application (software) Based Protection Management

Updates against the Committee's earlier recommendation from their January 2020 meeting have been included within these reports with the following extracts worth highlighting:

- 27 May 2021 Whilst our information governance continues to strengthen, the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) continues to 'raise the bar' on compliance matters. We are currently reviewing how Councillors access, utilise and manage personal and sensitive information and we must work to introduce changes to Councillor working practices to strengthen this aspect of Council information governance during 2021 or risk being found potentially in breach of General Data Protection Regulation legislation by the ICO. The key issue here is that having provided every councillor with a managed council device we must cease the councillor practice of forwarding council emails to personal email accounts where we have no control over cyber security protective measures. Ongoing vigilance with regard to Information Governance resources and training and budget to minimise the risk of an information breach or failure to comply with legislation as this work area volume increases significantly.
- **31 March 2022** The above matter was highlighted during a cybersecurity audit by the Department for Levelling Up Housing and Communities (DLUHC) as a significant cybersecurity risk that must be ceased. We will therefore work to achieve this during early 2022 in a supportive manner with additional training provided if required.
- **12 July 2022 -** The minutes of the above Committees were reported to subsequent Council meetings, with the latest minutes being presented to their meeting in July 2022.

In support of the above, a note was recently sent to all Members as part of the Chief Executive's regular member briefings to provide advance notice of the proposals to cease the automatic forwarding of emails and access to the Council's network from a non-TDC managed device.

The culmination of the above was the email recently sent to Members highlighting the proposed implementation of the two key actions set out at the beginning of this section of the report. The deferral was requested by Members to allow a debate at Full Council to take place.

# **Comments Received from Members Including Additional Comments / Response**

Comments Received	Additional Comments / Response
Councillors are independently elected individuals, they are not employees of the council - as such they are entitled to be	Agreed. This was acknowledged in the report considered by Full Council on 22 November 2022.
provided with information that allows them to fulfill that duty. For clarification, if they were employees and subject to the organisations employee policy then they would also be entitled to pensions, holiday and sick - which they are not.	The Monitoring Officer has responded that Tendring District Council comprises of 48 members, otherwise called Councillors. One or more Councillors will be elected by the voters in Wards in accordance with a scheme drawn up by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, and approved by the Secretary of State. Once elected Members form part of the Council, their roles are different to employees but collectively form the Council and become part of the public authority environment and framework in which local government sits.
	Article 2.04 of the Council's Constitution states that:
	Councillors will at all times observe the Members' Code of Conduct and protocols set out in Part 6 of this Constitution.
	<ul> <li>Councillors are also expected to comply with the requirements of any risk assessments issued by the Council in performance of their functions</li> </ul>
They have the right to have that information sent to their own personal devices in order to fulfill their duties - This is a protected right under protocol 1, Article 1 HRA 1998.	Protocol 1, Article 1 protects your right to enjoy your property peacefully - every natural or legal person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law.
	Property can include things like land, houses, objects you own, shares, licences, leases, patents, money, pensions and certain types of welfare benefits. A public authority cannot

take away your property, or place restrictions on its use, without very good reason.

This right applies to companies as well as individuals.

The Monitoring Officer has responded that it's unclear how the right to own a personal device is impacted upon by this subject area. It is not intending to take away the property, or place restrictions on its use, the Council is considering ceasing automatic forwarding to a personal device, from its own systems. However, the right is not an absolute right and can be interfered with, upon justification, such as compliance with legal requirements. The UK Data Protection legislation (6th Principle) requires that information and data are processed in a manner that ensures appropriate security of the personal data including protection against unauthorised or unlawful processing and against accidental loss/ destruction/damage through using appropriate technical or organizational measures (integrity and confidentiality). In all matters of council business, the Council is the Data Controller and has legislative responsibility to ensure, and to evidence, that information is being managed and protected in accordance with the principles of the legislation.

As part of previous considerations, the recommendation to stop the forwarding of emails has always been based on risk / best practice and compliance. Please see comments elsewhere in this report / appendices that set out the risks of members using their own personal devices.

It is also important to highlight that the continuation of allowing the forwarding of emails to personal devices may prevent the Council connecting to the Government's network as this may be deemed a 'failure' against the associated Cyber Assessment Framework (CAF) that is currently being trialled / piloted.

It is down to the Council to make sure no information is shared that would constitute a breach of DPA - it doesn't matter if it is on council equipment or not, they send it to an independent person not in the organisation so have to comply every time an email is

As highlighted in the report to Full Council on 22 November 2022 the UK GDPR 2018 legislation, particularly Article 5, Paragraph 1(f), requires personal data to be processed in a manner that ensures appropriate security of the personal data. The Council is unable to demonstrate compliance in this regard as personal devices and their cyber-security remain outside of the sphere of Council knowledge, control and management.

sent. Those emails then being forwarded is irrelevant to this legal requirement.	The Monitoring Officer has responded, it is important to recognise is the difference between the Council, as Data Controller auto-forwarding, without an assessment of the content of the email, and an individual forwarding manually with intention knowing the content of the email.
	However, potential alternative options are set out in Appendix D, that may address the wider point.
Officers need to comply because the Council is the data controller for the data	Please see comment above.
they use and they do handle sensitive personal data - councillors generally do not and are their own data controller.	The Monitoring Officer has responded the proposed recommended action of ceasing auto- forwarding emails was to ensure the Council did not breach the requirements of the Data Protection Act 2018.
	Paragraphs 3.3 and 3.8 of the Members' Code of Conduct state that Members:
	3.3 must not disclose confidential information or information which should reasonably be regarded as being of a confidential nature, without the express consent of a person authorised to give such consent, or unless required by law to do so.
	3.8 Must observe the law
	The Council received advice and recommended action from the Audit Committee, Portfolio Holder for Corporate Finance and Governance and those Officers responsible for Audit, IT and Governance on a way forward to protect the Council, as Data Controller and mitigating Cyber Security risks. If Members wish to retain auto-forwarding of emails, they are the decision makers setting Policy in this regard on behalf of the Council, as Data Controller.
Most information in emails is in fact in the public domain anyway.	Unfortunately, this is more often not the case. Personal information is included in various emails from the public to Members, which can also be 'repeated' as part of longer email 'strings' if forwarded on more than once. Members are provided with considerable amount

	of confidential information.
Forwarding emails is not a major cyber security issue - it is a perfectly normal and safe activity which has been available for many decades, which is why it's an available function.	Similar to the above, this is no longer the case, which has been highlighted by a recent security incident. As previously discussed, the auto-forwarding of emails can easily create additional points of attack for cyber attackers who can for example 'harvest' information that can be used in various activities, such as social engineering and "Spear phishing" and "Whaling" (digitally enabled fraud through social engineering).
The council system is already overly restrictive with many residents emails being sent to spam or they get emails back saying that their email is undeliverable - councillors need to be able to receive emails from outside the council unhindered.	This has also been an issue raised directly by the Task and Finish Group with recommendations set out in the main body of the report.  In the event that the forwarding of emails was ceased, Members can still use their personal email accounts to receive emails from the public for example. They may then wish to forward them onto their TDC account.
Government Department's opinion on the law is no more relevant than anyone else's - they do not make or interpret law and have no powers to enforce their opinion - nothing the background info is relevant.	Please see earlier response.  The Monitoring Officer has responded that the information contained within the Background Section of the Report to Full Council in November, included occasions that matters related to this subject has been considered by Members in various meetings, including the Audit Committee and the Resources and Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee.  With regards to not following the relevant Government's department for Local Government (currently DLUHC) guidance and policy, this will have an adverse and detrimental impact on the Council's reputation and access information held on the Government's network and to external funding streams to deliver projects for the local area.  As the UK's technical authority for cyber security, the National Cyber Security Centre (NCSC) developed the Cyber Assessment Framework (CAF) to support the UK's implementation of the European Union's Network and Information Systems (NIS) Directive in 2018.

It is mandatory for critical infrastructure providers to achieve CAF latest NCSC cyber-security compliance standards. Similarly during 2022/23 central government departments are working towards CAF compliance. With this in mind, the new <b>Government Cyber Security Strategy</b> set out plans to adopt the CAF as the assurance framework for government, providing a systematic and comprehensive approach to assessing the extent to which cyber risks to essential functions are being managed.
The strategy explains how the government will ensure all public sector organisations will be resilient to cyber threats and sets out plans to ensure that the government assesses its cyber resilience consistently and comparably. This includes adopting the NCSC's CAF as a standard way of assessing cyber risk.
Whilst CAF compliance is today voluntary for local government, DLUHC have advised that during 2023/24 they are undertaking a number of local government voluntary compliance audits and Tendring is engaged in this process from a feedback perspective. It remains DLUHC's declared intention to mandate local authority CAF completion and compliance submission review and audit annually.
This future CAF compliance regime will in essence replace the now defunct annual Public Services Network (PSN) Code of Connection cyber-security certification compliance review. CAF compliance failure and the possibility of disconnection from the PSN (which connects and facilitates data sharing between the council and government departments) would significantly affect and possibly even stop the council's ability to deliver key statutory services.
Please see earlier response. Similarly <i>Appendix C</i> , the ICO's note outlining legal responsibilities around the use of personal email accounts and Freedom Of Information (FOI) enquiries is additionally relevant.
The ceasing of the forwarding of emails would reflect best practise.  Options to address the associated risks are set out in <b>Appendix D.</b>

I think it was mentioned at an AMB that we are the only Council in Essex to allow it.	
Local Authorities are now experiencing requests for information, emails etc. that are held on 'private devices', where they relate to Council business. This could become an issue going forward, and despite it being said that Councillors are not subject to FOIA, they are if they are conducting Council business from a private device.	Councillors would not be subject to FOI on their personal emails / devices if it did not relate to Council business, but once they have chosen to use their personal emails to correspond with the Council and act on behalf of the Council, a search of their emails may be necessary to respond to such requests. This is likely to be a matter that is eventually determined by the ICO going forward in the event that a requestor is unsatisfied with a Local Authorities response to withhold such information. Members who continue to have auto-forwarding in place, are in effect accepting that their personal email accounts are being used for Council business.
This is something that might need to be clarified with our FOIA person.	The Monitoring Officer has responded that the Information Commissioner's Office has produced a Guidance Note on the topic of Freedom of Information Act 2000 to official information held in private email accounts and is attached as Annex Bi. The Note is helpful as it refers to a Councillor holding information relating to local authority business in her/her private email account on behalf of the local authority. It would be useful for this note to be circulated to all Members for information.
Council business should not be being done between Councillors on private email, look what happens at government level! There is, in my opinion, no valid reason that anyone needs to have their emails forwarded.	This reflects best practice - please see comments.
Just because it has been done in the past, does not mean that it is still the right thing to be done, as has been highlighted by officers, government, and our own Audit Committee in the last few months	

Maybe officers should start to refuse to act on any emails that come in from councillors private email addresses. Maybe that could be a recommendation by your Task and Finish Group.	This is covered in previous recommendations that council business should be undertaken on council-managed equipment. Should an email be received from a member's personal email account then officers should routinely respond to their TDC official address.
Whilst I think the IT team do an excellent job I still think there are areas where the use of personal equipment is not addressed. I understand that some councillors are not happy with having to use council equipment as they are use to their own but I think there are ways the council can look at facilitating this if everyone has Microsoft office on their own computers or laptops with inbuilt security.	Potential alternative options are set out in Appendix C.
Has the option of using webmail rather than forwarding of emails been used? I have another outlook account accessed this way that I can pick up on my phone as well and I am asked to sign in every 7 days with random requests to verify my id via a code sent to my phone.	
I do not think that emails addressed to councillors should be automatically directed to their personal accounts – whilst I doubt there is anything amiss happening I don't think the council should be in a position that there could be.  If the use of personal email addresses/equipment is to continue then I	Please see comments above.

feel there should be some sort of signed agreement to mitigate risk.	
There needs to be compulsory initial training for all councillors in the first instance, then those that do/don't need more can be identified?	Training for Councillors is already in place. However further recommendations from the Task and Finish Group are set out in the main body of the report.